

**TOWN OF YOUNTVILLE**  
**MINUTES OF REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING**  
February 6, 2007

---

---

**1. CALL TO ORDER – 5:45 P.M.**

Mayor Saucerman called the Town Council meeting to order at 5:50 p.m.

---

---

**2. CLOSED SESSION**

Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation Pursuant to Government Code section 54956.9 (One Case):

Case: Linda Pedroni et al. v. City of American Canyon, et al. Napa County Superior Court Case No. 26-36225

---

---

**3. CONVENE REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING – 6:00 P.M.**

The Council convened the regular meeting at 6:07 p.m.

---

---

**4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The pledge of allegiance was held.

---

---

**5. ROLL CALL**

**Mayor and Town Councilmembers Present:**

Mayor Cynthia L. Saucerman, Vice Mayor John F. Dunbar and Councilmembers William “Bill” Dutton, Steven C. Rosa and Lewis G. Chilton

**Town Staff Present:**

Kevin Plett, Town Manager; Amy L. Valukevich, Town Attorney; Michelle Price, Town Clerk; Bob Tiernan, Planning Director; Myke Praul, Public Works Director; Richard Stranzl, Finance Director and Kenneth Leary, Community Services Director

---

---

**6. REPORT OF CLOSED SESSION**

Amy Valukevich, Town Attorney, reported the Town Council met in Closed Session and there is no reportable action.

---

---

**7. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**

**ACTION: Motion by Councilmember Dutton; Seconded by Vice Mayor Dunbar to adopt the agenda was unanimously approved.**

**Ayes: Dutton, Dunbar, Rosa, Chilton and Saucerman**

**Noes: None**

**Absent: None**

**Abstain: None**

---

---

**8. Public Comment on Matters Not Listed on the Agenda (FIVE MINUTE LIMITATION PER SPEAKER)**

No Public Comment

---

---

**9. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR**

**ACTION: Motion by Vice Mayor Dunbar; Seconded by Councilmember Dutton to approve the Consent Calendar was unanimously approved, as amended to reflect a correction to the format of the vote for the January 16, 2007 minutes.**

**Ayes: Dunbar, Dutton, Rosa, Chilton and Saucerman**

**Noes: None**

**Absent: None**

**Abstain: None**

**A. *Approval of Warrants* —**

- |                                  |                     |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| • Accounts payable check numbers | \$237,378.16        |
| • Total payroll and benefits     | <u>\$137,584.24</u> |
| • Total payments for period      | \$374,962.40        |

Recommendation: Received and Filed.

**B. *Approval of Minutes* – January 16, 2007**

Recommendation: Approved, as amended above.

Councilmember Chilton clarified his comments made at the January 16, 2007 meeting in regard to Item 9 Public Hearing for water and sewer rates. His comments under the second bullet point were intended to state 41% of the people who are falling in the highest tier are outside of the Town and because of the way we have constructed the conservation rates they are actually getting a significant decrease in the rates.

---

---

**10. PRESENTATIONS**

A. Local Silverado/Napa County Chapter of the American Red Cross

Sam Fromowitz, Volunteer and Marj Dixon, Executive Director provided overview of the services provided by the American Red Cross and provided handouts for a fund raiser on April 14, 2007.

B. Sheriff Department Public Safety Quarterly Report

Pete Berg, Sergeant, Napa County Sheriff Department, introduced recently-elected Napa County Sheriff Doug Koford.

Doug Koford, Newly Elected Napa County Sheriff, introduced himself and commented on the importance of the contract between the Town and County Sheriff and reassured the members of the community and the Council that the Sheriff's Department will continue to strive to provide the best quality service under the contract.

Sergeant Berg, on behalf of the Sheriff's Department, welcomed and congratulated newly appointed Councilmember Lewis Chilton and provided a brief overview of the Sheriff's Quarterly Report.

C. Fire Department Public Safety Quarterly Report

David Shew, Assistant Operations Chief, presented overview of the Fire Quarterly Report and noted the following:

- As of January 2007 the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection will now be referred to as Calfire.
- Staff Changes – Barry Beardman promoted to Battalion Chief for St. Helena and David Shew is now the Assistant Operations Chief for Napa County Fire Department. Mike Parkes is the new Battalion Chief replacing David Shew.

---

---

**11. PUBLIC HEARING – MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT - BARDESSONO INN PROJECT – 6526 YOUNT STREET (APN 036-090-022 AND 036-090-023)**

***Consider Adoption of Resolution Number 2537-07*** Approving a Master Development Plan Amendment including Use Permit, Design Review, and Heritage Tree Removal Amendments for a 62-Unit Inn Project located at 6526 Yount Street.  
***Public Hearing Continued from January 2 and 16, 2007.***

Recommendation: Continued to February 20, 2007.

Bob Tiernan, Planning Director, presented staff report.

Phil Sherburne, developer for the project, apologized for not being here at the prior meeting; however, is happy to be here now to answer questions.

*Open Public Hearing*

Diana Craig, professional wildlife biologist who has worked with the Federal government in that capacity for over 16 years and is a neighbor of the project, expressed concerns about the removal of the Heritage Oak Tree. She commented on the following:

- Concerned about the wildlife assessment.
- Riparian and Streamside Vegetation including the Oak Tree - Assumption that the project site had a 35-foot buffer along the stream and indicated the buffer would not be impacted.
- Impact on wildlife, streambed and Hopper Creek is a historic range for the steelhead.
- Read quote from Section 4.9-16 of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) stating documentation should address stream and riparian impacts.
- Does not believe the CEQA document in place now would support taking riparian vegetation out of the stream and believes there are potential effects to the threatened steelhead.
- The Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) did not mention the Town's General Plan Policy 5.1 requirement regarding maintenance of existing water course of Hopper Creek and native vegetation.
- Original Document - The analysis of wildlife using the area was conducted in August of 2002 which is outside of the normal breeding range for most birds and the one day visit to the site is not sufficient to determine what wildlife would be impacted especially by removal of riparian vegetation and native trees.
- Reminded people of Mitigation Measure Number 4.9-3A in the Environmental Impact Report.

Patricia Alexander submitted three pictures of her backyard from the flood of 1996 and commented on the following:

- Questioned the planning of a sanitary sewer for the project and does not recall seeing this information in the plan.
- Concerned about removal of the confirmed healthy, viable Heritage Oak Tree.
- Proposed project changes are not acceptable and requested Council put a halt on the project.
- There is a historical water problem in terms of water table and it seems remarkable that at this late date we find a water table problem with the construction of the basement property.
- Concerned about drainage from the 5 ½-acre development project into Hopper Creek.

- Referenced a sign in her walkway entitled “Caring for Creeks” which suggests minimizing stormwater runoff.
- Suggested the project go back to ZDRB for review to look at the issues and that a new EIR be prepared to accurately reflect this development.

Bill Craig commented on the following:

- Concerned about the north/west view corridor which prior to the project extended north/west for a view of the hills and with the proposed project the view corridor will now be the second story balconies that will surround his home.
- Concerned about the transient population passing through Town which would look directly into his son’s bedroom from the second story balconies and suggested trees be planted along the fence line to provide adequate screening.
- Would like consideration given to residents that are having direct visual effect in proximity to these buildings and requested the developer, Town Staff and residents come together to screen view line along walkway.

Megan Mason reiterated her concerns expressed four weeks ago about the proposed changes and requested the Council, as elected officials, take into consideration the consequences of this project to the Town’s people. Ms. Mason commented on the following:

- The Developer does not live in Town and does not see that there are people living on the other side of the view corridor that will be impacted by the increased height of the elevator structure and she thought it was 30 feet.
- Concerned about removal of Heritage Oaks and noticed various trees tagged with pink and green and wants to know the definition of the colored tags.
- Concerned about creek and drainage because the banks are already eroding and to have the impact from the increased amount of water from the high rainy season will impact those on the other side of the project.
- Requested Council evaluate and slow down the process and consider how this project will impact the Town.

Richard Gervasio commented on the following:

- Concerned about the first remarks made by the Applicant indicating that the oak tree had to go.
- Suggested the drainage water going into Hopper Creek be handled by building a catch basin and then pump the water into Hopper Creek.
- Cannot understand why this project is taking more time to review all of the revisions then it did to review the initial project and suggested the entire process be started over.
- Catch basin is most important to consider in order to save the oak tree.
- Hopes Council really considers what has happened here in terms of water and the water table in getting the groundwater into Hopper Creek without destroying the tree.

Billie Hill commented the project was supposed to be compatible with the rural atmosphere of Yountville and is not anything like what was discussed 10 years ago.

Diane Brinker commented on the following:

- Concerned about removing the tree and the height of the project.
- Concerned about how an 18-inch pipe is going to drain the entire property.
- Based on comments made earlier, she is concerned about water issues.
- Water table is an obvious problem in the area.
- Asked Council to say no to project changes proposed and suggested Council needs more information before they proceed.

Janet Gotch concurred with comments made by those who spoke before her.

*Closed Public Hearing*

*Council Comments*

Kevin Plett, Town Manager, clarified for the record that there is no sewer planned to go into Hopper Creek.

Mr. Sherburne responded below to the comments:

- Water table - Clarified he knew what the water table was; but wanted to see if we could put in basement as an extra effort to accommodate requests from Council.
- Did not know the soils were as big a problem in terms of how deep and pumping.
- Tree concerns - It was known early on in the planning the tree was going to be affected by putting in the storm drainage and indicated the item should not have come back to Council because it was already approved as part of the original plan. Because of the concern about oak trees, additional alternatives were considered.
- Water issues - Addressed extensively a month ago and have been looked at professionally and have arrived at best method possible.

Vice Mayor Dunbar requested clarification on his understanding regarding the proposed removal of four Heritage Oaks which has been an issue since the original plans and indicated they were not all approved to be removed. Vice Mayor Dunbar further commented the oaks were all designated for discussion and potential removal.

Planning Director Tiernan responded the specifics of where the utilities were going to be located in relation to those oaks was not really known at the time of the original submittal; and as the information became available, it was his intent to look at the information that would be related to the need to remove the oaks as it related to utility locations.

Director Tiernan further commented the oaks were shown on the Applicant's plan as being removed, but that he hoped he had made it clear at the meeting that staff did not have enough information at the time to simply go out and remove four oaks based on the utilities maybe being located near them. So at that meeting he explained he would be looking at them as they came in.

Vice Mayor Dunbar further clarified approval as they were going to be addressed on an individual basis once the additional information on utility locations was provided.

Director Tiernan commented that is correct.

Mayor Saucerman clarified that to date we have not seen final plans as far as drainage and utilities.

Director Tiernan responded that the Town Engineer has not seen the specific information related to this oak tree for those drain lines.

Mayor Saucerman commented the Council is being asked to make a decision without having seen those plans and alternatives provided from the Town Engineer and isn't that something we should have in place before we make these decisions.

Myke Praul, Public Works Director/Town Engineer, commented the problem is we have received a site plan that shows the utilities in the buildings and running along different places on property; however, some of it has been conceptual and some of it has been more detailed.

While the plans may be approximately 95% complete, there are certain decisions Council is making (i.e. tree removal, basement issue and location of spa) that affect layout of utilities in the final plans.

Public Works Director Praul responded to a question raised earlier by Councilmember Rosa in regard to site elevation which is a critical piece of information that the Town Engineer does not have. Director Praul further commented the sanitary sewer line coming out into the easement was new information when the pre-removal proposal noting there is something causing the Applicant not to take the sewer line up to Yount street that was originally envisioned.

Vice Mayor Dunbar recognized a public comment earlier regarding concern for the proposed 18" pipe and requested clarification on whether or not the pipe is sufficient to drain the site.

Public Works Director Praul responded that the preliminary plans he has does not show the pipe draining the entire site and there are other drainage pipes that are

going into another main drain going into Hopper Creek to the north of this pipe. From the plans he has, approximately half of the site is draining through this and yes, the pipe is adequate.

Councilmember Rosa commented on the following:

- Riparian setback is 35 feet from the center of creek and Mr. Sherburne has agreed that and any oak taken out will be replaced at a 3-1 ratio with a larger specimen.
- Supports taking tree down if it is going to be replaced 3-1.

Councilmember Chilton commented on the following:

- Concerned about Applicant taking risk on basement and the rushed planning.
- Hopes this is the last substantive change/amendment that is made.
- Comments from Mr. Craig earlier regarding shielding make sense.
- FAR – Looks like it went from .258 to .267 and he does not think the building changes are really going to be felt by anyone.
- Mentioned questioned raised earlier regarding the height of fitness center noting it is far back on the site and does not believe it would be seen until you reach the valet parking area.
- Concerned about tree.

Councilmember Dutton commented on the following:

- Basement – Understands this needs to be revisited; however, he is concerned about jamming what was the basement on top of something and suggested finding somewhere else on the property.
- FAR - Not concerned about the FAR.
- Tree – Comparing Tree 61 and Tree 68 there is a significant amount of distance between them and the area naturally looks like where a drain would run. Not convinced there is not a way to make the drain work.
- Not concerned about water running into the creek. Regardless of the depth of the creek, heavy rain cannot be controlled.
- Believes tree could be saved somehow.
- Height of Building – When looking at project from the side where there was going to be fill, it is staggering how much higher it looks and there will be a house that will be at least 5' higher than the Craig's home.
- Ground Height Elevations - Every effort to keep height of affordable housing down.
- Comments remain the same as they did at prior meetings.

Vice Mayor Dunbar commented on the following:

- FAR – Was more inclined to accept the slight increase the last time because there was an added benefit the Town was receiving with additional access to the spa and restaurant. Even though it is not a substantial amount of space we are now going the other direction where we are creeping up on the massing of the site while reducing the benefit the community is going to receive which is a concern, but not an overwhelming concern. He can live with the increase because with the zoning there is flexibility with the number.
- Concerned about additional massing on top of spa building and understands it is less impacting than he first thought, but it still impacts center view corridor.
- Concerned about drainage issues and he is not sure all options have been exhausted.
- Would like tree to be retained (issues with other trees were removed for safety issues, not design issues).
- Suggested Applicant consider removing fitness center altogether which would resolve FAR.
- Overall suggested reviewing drainage situation and hopefully that will resolve saving the tree and cause less of an impact on the creek and on flooding for neighborhood.

Mayor Saucerman commented on the following:

- Concurs with a number of the points made by Council.
- Repeated Applicant has been before Council to amend the Use Permit under the Master Development Plan Agreement and amending that offered up a restaurant and spa facility to the public and Council thought it was a good idea at the time. The plan proposed at that time incorporated those elements and according to Town ordinances it improved the quality of the design, the level of amenities offered or the provision of certain public benefits. Those were the criteria by which Council made its decision and now Council is being asked to reduce the public benefit and discount the criteria by which we made our decision by lessening the spa facilities available to the public which is a concern.
- Concerned about saving a healthy, live oak and it is imperative that we do all that we can to address the drainage issue and save the tree -- if we can. Realizes when initial approval came forward those trees were to be addressed on a case-by-case basis and we did not have the schematics that we needed at the time to make a decision. Now we have the schematics, but no alternatives have been provided.
- Shares Vice Mayor Dunbar's comments on the tree and is not comfortable with making a decision tonight and would like to wait until the Town Engineer has more information in order to look at alternatives. Granting an easement is not out of the question.
- Reiterated comments from the Applicant to talk to his Engineer regarding water table concern which was brought up at the last meeting when the basement was proposed.

- Uncomfortable with moving fitness room to the pool deck. The original design was perfect and now restrooms are being proposed to be moved to the first floor away from swimming pool and it doesn't make sense. Also, noted the increased usage on the pool deck.
- Original plan was acceptable and now Council is being asked to make exceptions.
- Council shares public concerns and we base our decisions on a lot of the public comments and the original approved plans incorporated a lot of those comments
- Shares Council's concerns about drainage and stormwater runoff.
- Grassy swale is a good measure and likes that concept as well as other drainage measures that are in place.
- Would like to see more information in regard to drainage in the south corner before locating drainage pipe in that area and before removing the oak tree.

Councilmember Dutton addressed comments made earlier by Ms. Craig regarding possible violations in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and asked staff if there is any concern in regard to the proposed changes.

Planning Director Tiernan responded that there were no concerns with the EIR.

Mayor Saucerman asked the Applicant, Phil Sherburne, if he would like Council to vote on the item or continue it to the next meeting.

Mr. Sherburne commented on the following:

- With respect to the tree what he is hearing is Council is not satisfied that all options have been looked at.
- Fitness Center – Believes he has presented best option and location even with all of the comments made earlier.
- Will look at tree and fitness center alternatives and ask for a definitive vote at the next Council Meeting.

**ACTION: Motion by Vice Mayor Dunbar; seconded by Councilmember Dutton to continue the Public Hearing to February 20, 2007 was unanimously approved.**

**Ayes: Dunbar, Dutton, Rosa, Chilton and Saucerman**

**Noes: None**

**Absent: None**

**Abstain: None**

---

---

**12. CONSIDER ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NUMBER 2538-07 APPROVING BARDESSONO INN PARCEL MAP AND SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENT AGREEMENT 6526 YOUNT STREET (APN 036-090-022 AND 036-090-023)**

Recommendation: Adopted Resolution.

Myke Praul, Public Works Director/Town Engineer, presented staff report and clarified for the record that there is an error in the staff report and it should reflect that there is a Parcel 4. The Parcel Map is correct.

Councilmember Dutton requested clarification on Item 6; Page 2 of the Resolution which references Yountville Square.

Director Praul indicated Item 6 will read similar to Item 1 of page 2 of the resolution (Lands of the Bardessono Brothers, LLC).

*Public Comment – None*

**ACTION: Motion by Vice Mayor Dunbar; seconded by Councilmember Rosa to adopt Resolution Number 2538-07 on the following:**

- 1. Approve the Subdivision Improvement Agreement and authorize the Town Manager to sign upon recordation of the Parcel Map.**
- 2. Approve and accept the Parcel Map and documents and accept certain offers of dedication and make findings all in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act and authorize the Town Engineer and Town Surveyor to sign the Parcel Map for recordation in accordance with the conditions contained in Resolution Number 2538-07, as amended with corrections notated above.**

**Ayes: Dunbar, Rosa, Dutton, Chilton and Saucerman**

**Noes: None**

**Absent: None**

**Abstain: None**

---

---

**13. UPDATE ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECTS**

- A. Keller/Diner Site
- B. Bardessono

Kevin Plett, Town Manager, commented that prior to presenting staff report, the items will be discussed separately due to Councilmember Conflicts of Interest.

Town Manager Plett presented staff report on the Bardessono Project.

Becky Boulton, Napa Valley Community Housing Project Manager, provided funding update.

Staff Report Item 1 – Consensus to support a financial contribution for the Bardessono site of \$600,000-\$700,000 (including design costs), waiving of all processing and permit fees, and deferment of impact fees.

Staff Report Item 2 – Lease terms for Bardessono Site may be premature at this time per Town Manager Plett.

*Mayor Saucerman recused herself from further discussion on this topic item since she is conflicted out for the Keller Site Proposal and the Affordable Housing aspect of that project due to her primary residence being within 500' of the main project.*

*Councilmember Rosa recused himself from further discussion due to a conflict of interest resulting from his primary residence being located within 500' feet of the Keller/Diner Affordable Housing portion of the project.*

Kevin Plett, Town Manager, presented staff report on the Keller/Ad Hoc Affordable Housing Project and commented on the following stating these changes are a good compromise:

- Applicant has agreed to lock in \$632,000
- Any cost overruns or contingencies would be split dollar for dollar between the Applicant and the Town up to an agreed upon maximum

Michael Holman, Attorney and Applicant Representative, for Mr. Keller gave brief presentation.

**MOTION: A Motion by Councilmember Dutton; seconded by Councilmember Chilton to extend the meeting beyond 10:00 was unanimously approved.**

**Ayes: Dutton, Chilton and Dunbar**

**Noes: None**

**Absent: None**

**Abstain: None**

Kevin Teague; Attorney for the Applicant, presented the following which he hopes is a win-win solution.

Number of Units – Eleven units. Mr. Keller is not a housing developer and has entered into predevelopment agreement with Napa Valley Community Housing.

Financing – Original Proposal was to contribute \$600,000 and look to the Town for \$100,000 to cover the project. Now Applicant proposes to cover the \$632,000 budget shortfall through the assessment mechanism to be discussed. If the project goes over budget, wants to have in place a contingency fund and looking to partner with the Town. The developer will match dollar for dollar anything over the \$632,000 and talked with the Town Manager about capping the amount to be an individual cap of \$60,000 for Developer and the Town for total of \$120,000. Any overages to be discussed and approved by both parties and would be monitored together. In terms of fees, he would be looking for a similar agreement as the Town has with the Bardessono's.

Assessment Mechanism – The project itself cannot afford to frontload costs due to size and that is why we came up with an assessment mechanism to pay over the years. Details to be spelled out in the development agreement.

Wants to explore with staff and work with the Town to use the Town's good debt capacity as participant/guarantor to leverage credit rating and think could pay back over 5 years with interest.

Mr. Teague wanted to know if this housing proposal is acceptable to the Town Council?

Town Manager Plett commented that there is a requirement for three market rate units to replace what is taken out on Webber. Previously Council agreed that this was reasonable and they would be put up on Monroe - Jefferson Street lot. Currently this is one lot, but would have to be conditioned to be subdivided for duplex and single-family resident with a second unit.

Staff Report Item 3 – Council consensus of funding contribution \$632,000 and possibility of a dollar for dollar match with a maximum amount for any contingencies subject to approval.

Staff Report Item 4 – Town Manager Plett commented staff does not want the land and thinks it would be more appropriate if the land was transferred over to Napa Valley Community Housing.

Staff Report Item 5 – Council consensus to waive fees.

Staff Report Items 6 and 7 subject to further review and discussion.

Town Manager Plett commented he feels there is enough of a consensus from Council on how to proceed.

*Mayor Saucerman and Councilmember Rosa returned to the Council Chambers for the remainder of the meeting.*

---

---

**14. COMMUNITY SERVICES PROGRAM REPORT – SUMMER/FALL**

Continued to the February 20, 2007 Council Meeting.

---

---

**15. REVIEW AND APPOINT COUNCIL MEMBERS TO VARIOUS BOARDS, COMMITTEES AND COMMISSIONS.**

Continued to the February 20, 2007 Council Meeting.

---

---

**16. COUNCIL REPORTS AND COMMENTS**

Report of Council Member Meeting Attendance:

A. Dog Park Meeting Report (Dunbar/Chilton)

Vice Mayor Dunbar commented on his attendance at the Dog Park Meeting along with Councilmember Chilton and Town Staff Planning Director Tiernan and Community Services Director Leary stating those advocating for the dog park are considering various open space sites in Town, but it does not mean the space is viable due to concerns related to noise and site size.

B. League of California Cities North Bay Division General Membership Meeting

Vice Mayor Dunbar commented the Membership received a presentation regarding the Napa County Flood Control Project and a presentation from Assemblymember Lois Wolk regarding healthcare, school funding, prison reform and there were discussions from the State level regarding the presidential primary which may be moved up to February.

C. Napa Valley Housing Authority (Dunbar/Rosa)

Vice Mayor Dunbar reported the Housing Authority gave belated approval of the 06/07 Operating Budget and reviewed a draft of the 07/08 Operating Budget. The Housing Authority is also applying for grant funding from the Joe Serna Jr. Farmworker Housing Program to help with funding shortfalls related to the renovations of the two farmworker housing camps. The Housing Authority also submitted request to Auction of Napa Valley to assist with the funding shortfalls.

D. Napa County Mosquito Abatement District (Rosa)

Councilmember Rosa reported the workload is down, maintenance is being done and the District is gearing up for spring.

E. Upper Valley Waste Management Authority (Dutton/Saucerman)

Councilmember Dutton reported the Authority completed their Audit for 05/06. The Integrated Waste Management Plan was accepted by the County and will be sent on to the State. The Authority will now take all plastics numbered 1 through 7 and in response to wineries will now take shrink wrap and pallets. There is also a grant to work on the disposal of batteries which will be referred to as EPR (Extended Producer Responsibilities).

F. Napa County Transportation Agency (NCTPA) (Rosa/Dutton)

Councilmember Rosa reported on the Jamieson Canyon Corridor and perhaps alternate sites if funding does not come through. Napa County is number 2 in the State for Caltrans and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) funding for the widening of Jamieson Canyon.

G. Napa County City Selection Committee (Saucerman)

Mayor Saucerman reported the Committee met and appointed City of Napa Councilmember Juliana Inman to the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) Down-Valley Seat and appoint Mayor Jack Gingles to the LAFCO Up-Valley Seat. The Committee also appointed to the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) City of Calistoga Mayor Jack Gingles as the Representative and City of Napa Mayor Jill Techel as the Alternate Representative.

H. Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Saucerman)

Mayor Saucerman reported the District received an update on Flood Control Project.

I. Napa County Farmworker Housing Oversight Committee (Dutton/Rosa)

Reported under Napa Valley Housing Authority.

J. Other Reports and Comments

Councilmember Rosa reported on the Napa River Watershed Information Center (WICC) where discussion took place regarding the salmon population and noted the Napa County Resource Conservation District took a nice video of the salmon in the Napa River, so there is a lot of work and study occurring to bring the salmon population back into the river.

Mayor Saucerman commented on the following:

- Special presentation at the Napa Valley Opera House entitled How We are Raising our Disconnected, Stressed Out Kids on Wednesday, February 7, 2007 at 6:00 p.m.
- Seniors Valentine's Day Dance and Social February 14, 2007 scheduled from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Yountville Community Hall.

Recommendation: Received and Filed.

---

---

## 17. STAFF REPORTS

Town Manager Plett reported on the following:

- Fencing at Yountville Park is being completed around the playground equipment.
- The Point is working very well and we did receive response from the property owner at Whistlestop responding to a letter from the Town regarding the driveway and the property owner thinks the Point is just fine. Town Staff did remove double-yellow dots allowing legal left turns.
- Leadership and Team Building Conference in Concord and picked up good tips on how to accomplish the workloads and goals given by Council.

---

---

## 18. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- A. Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)
- B. Amendment to Master Development Plan for 17 Stags View Lane
- C. Request from Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC) Regarding Class I Bike Path Feasibility Study
- D. Council Goal Setting Workshop
- E. Mid-Year Budget Review

---

---

## 19. ADJOURNMENT

The Council adjourned at approximately 10:30 p.m. to the next Regular Meeting scheduled for February 20, 2007.

ATTEST:

/s/ Michelle Price, Town Clerk

Approved by Town Council:

September 4, 2007